The Board of Governors for Truman State University met on Saturday, April 14, 2012, on the University campus in Kirksville, Missouri. The meeting was held in the Conference Room of the Student Union, and the open session of the meeting was called to order shortly after 1:00 p.m. by the Chair of the Board of Governors, Kenneth L. Read.

Participating in the meeting were all seven voting members: Sarah Burkemper, Cheryl J. Cozette, Karen Haber, Matthew W. Potter, Jim O’Donnell, Susan Plassmeyer and Kenneth L. Read.

Also participating in the meeting were all three non-voting members. Michael J. Bushur, the student representative, and John Hilton, one of the out-of-state members, participated in person. Michael A. Zito, the other out-of-state member, participated by conference call.

On February 9, 2012, Governor Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon appointed Susan Plassmeyer to replace John W. Siscel, III, on the Board of Governors for a term ending January 1, 2018. The appointment of Ms. Plassmeyer was confirmed by the Missouri Senate on February 23, 2012.

On February 9, 2012, Governor Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon appointed Sarah Burkemper to replace Mike Greenwell on the Board of Governors for a term ending on January 1, 2017. The appointment of Mrs. Burkemper was confirmed by the Missouri Senate on March 1, 2012.

On February 9, 2012, Governor Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon appointed Jim O’Donnell to replace Mark Wasinger on the Board of Governors for a term ending January 1, 2017. The appointment of Mr. O’Donnell was confirmed by the Missouri Senate on March 1, 2012.

Call to Order
Kenneth L. Read, as Chair of the Board of Governors, called the meeting to order and welcomed all in attendance. Mr. Read noted that Michael A. Zito would be participating in the meeting by conference call and would be joining the meeting in a few minutes.

Minutes for Open Session of Meeting on February 4, 2012
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the minutes for the open session of the meeting on February 4, 2012, be approved.

The motion was seconded by Karen Haber and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.

Chair Report and Welcome to New Board Members—Sarah Burkemper, Jim O’Donnell and Susan Plassmeyer
Mr. Read provided a report as Chair of the Board of Governors and recognized Sarah Burkemper, Jim O’Donnell and Susan Plassmeyer as newly appointed members of the Board of Governors.

Mr. Read also announced the appointment of Cheryl J. Cozette as Secretary of the Board of Governors and made reference to the following updated membership listing for three committees of the Board and the Truman State University Foundation.
Budget and Capital Projects Committee
Matthew W. Potter (Chair), Jim O’Donnell, Michael A. Zito and
Kenneth L. Read (ex officio)

Finance and Auditing Committee
Sarah Burkemper (Chair), Cheryl J. Cozette, Susan Plassmeyer and
Kenneth L. Read (ex officio)

Honorary Degrees Committee
John Hilton (Chair), Michael Bushur, Cheryl J. Cozette, Michael A. Zito
and Kenneth L. Read (ex officio)

Truman State University Foundation Board of Directors
Karen Haber, Kenneth L. Read and Sarah Burkemper

Resolution of Appreciation—John W. Siscel, III
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Honorable John W. Siscel, III, served as a member of the Board
of Governors of Truman State University from September 2007 to February 2012,
serveing as secretary in 2009, vice chair in 2010, and chair of the Board of
Governors in 2011; and

WHEREAS, during his tenure on the Board, Governor Siscel played a critical role
in decision making that will have a lasting impact on the future directions and
successes of Truman State University; and

WHEREAS, Governor Siscel encouraged and facilitated the use of committees of
the Board to further enhance the efficiency and flow of Board meetings, and
through his participation on the Budget and Capital Projects Committee, shared
his expertise in areas such as construction and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, Governor Siscel played a key role in the Masonic Cornerstone
Ceremony in celebration of Truman’s new Health Sciences facilities and has been
actively involved with the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry’s RiteCare Program, an
organization that has been a major supporter of Truman’s Communication
Disorders Program; and

WHEREAS, Governor Siscel gave of his time and energy to participate in
activities on campus and within the Kirksville community, playing a key role in
expressing the Board’s support for the selection of Kirksville as the site for a new
School of Dentistry and Oral Health at A. T. Still University;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Governors of
Truman State University hereby expresses its sincere gratitude to the Honorable

John W. Siscel, III, for his guidance and leadership and for his dedicated and outstanding service to Truman as a member and officer of the Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be presented to Governor Siscel as a tangible expression of deep appreciation and felicitiation.

The motion was seconded by Cheryl J. Cozette and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted. Mr. Read and University President Troy D. Paino then presented Dr. Siscel with a framed copy of the resolution.

Michael A. Zito joined the meeting by conference call at approximately 1:15 p.m.

Resolution of Appreciation—Mark S. Wasinger
Cheryl J. Cozette moved the adoption of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Honorable Mark S. Wasinger served as a member of the Board of Governors of Truman State University from February 2005 to February 2012, serving as secretary in 2006, vice chair in 2007, and chair of the Board of Governors in 2008; and

WHEREAS, during his tenure on the Board, Governor Wasinger displayed a genuine commitment to the University’s quest for excellence, its focus on student learning, and concern for the welfare of students that will have a lasting impact on the future directions and successes of Truman State University; and

WHEREAS, Governor Wasinger effectively served as chair of the Finance and Auditing Committee of the Board of Governors, providing valuable leadership to ensure that the University’s financial condition remained stable and that the University continued to be a good steward of its resources; and

WHEREAS, Governor Wasinger, through his strong legal and analytical skills, good humor, charm, and calm, collaborative and decisive manner, contributed greatly to the success of the Board’s deliberations and endeared him to all who had the opportunity to work with him;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Governors of Truman State University hereby expresses its sincere gratitude to the Honorable Mark S. Wasinger for his guidance and leadership and for his distinguished and commendable service to Truman as a member and officer of the Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be presented to Governor Wasinger as a tangible expression of deep appreciation and felicititation.

The motion was seconded by Karen Haber and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.
Resolution of Appreciation—Dennis Markeson
Sarah Burkemper moved the adoption of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, Dennis Markeson will retire in the summer of 2012 as general manager of the University's food service provider, Sodexo, after 27 years of service at Truman State University and 43 years in the college food service industry; and

WHEREAS, Dennis began his career at Truman in 1985 serving as general manager for seven different University presidents and overseeing countless numbers of luncheons, dinners and receptions, many of which were enjoyed by members of the Board of Governors; and

WHEREAS, Dennis set the standard for the exceptional food service which was provided to members of the University and Kirksville communities by demanding close attention to detail and the highest professional conduct by his staff;

WHEREAS, the refrain of "no problem" was a consistent phrase that could be heard from Dennis and his staff no matter what the request, how many times the request was changed, or how much advance notice of the request was given; and

WHEREAS, Dennis has worked side by side within the food service industry with his wife, Lynnette, both of whom have been loyal and dedicated ambassadors of the Truman community;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Governors of Truman State University hereby expresses its sincere gratitude to Dennis Markeson for his dedicated and exceptional service as general manager of Truman's food service program and extends to Dennis and Lynnette their best wishes for a long and enjoyable retirement; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be presented to Dennis Markeson as a tangible expression of deep appreciation and felicitation.

The motion was seconded by Karen Haber and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted. Mr. Read and President Paino then presented Dr. Siscel with a framed copy of the resolution.

President’s Report
President Paino began his report by sharing a document summarizing his external and internal relations activities from February 4 to April 13, 2012. He expressed his deep appreciation to Ryan Nely for his leadership throughout the past year as Student Senate President, and he welcomed Aaron Malin and Ryan Gordon, the new incoming Student Senate President and Vice President. President Paino highlighted the Dr. Darrell W. Krueger Presidential Portrait Unveiling Ceremony held on March 30, and he noted the A. T. Still University Dental School Groundbreaking Ceremony held on March 15, at which time he was given an
opportunity to visit one-on-one with Missouri Governor Jay Nixon. President Paino provided an update on the status of the state budget. In addition, he noted that the Missouri Coordinating Board for Higher Education will be hosting a Board Forum on June 7 in Columbia to engage institutional governing board members and chief executive officers in a discussion of critical issues facing higher education in Missouri and the nation. Truman will be allowed to send up to four members of the Board to participate. President Paino provided an update on the possible transition of athletic conferences from the Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association (MIAA) to the Great Lakes Valley Conference (GLVC). He shared the rationale regarding Truman’s decision to express interest in joining the GLVC which included geography and academic and athletic fit, and he detailed the timeline involved noting that the University could begin GLVC play during 2013. President Paino provided a report on enrollment projections for the 2012-13 academic year, and he shared an update on the use of the enrollment management software previously approved by the Board. For the new members of the Board, President Paino provided a summary of his efforts to lead the University through change. He summarized his remarks at an all-University meeting held in late January where he shared a number of challenges facing the University and where he announced the formation of a Guiding Coalition. The group has been charged with creating a vision and strategy for Truman to fulfill its mission and maintain its commitment to academic excellence and affordability in an era of diminishing state appropriations and an ever-changing higher education landscape. President Paino shared a number of recent student accomplishments, including the recent announcement that Connor Stangler, a junior English and history double major from Columbia, was recently awarded a national competitive scholarship of up to $30,000 from the Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation. President Paino acknowledged the Holman Family Distinguished Speaker Series and the speaker for the evening’s event, Michael Beschloss, an award-winning historian and author. He noted that Beth Klein, a 1983 graduate of Truman and a nationally recognized trial lawyer who has dedicated her life and legal skills to end human trafficking, had agreed to be the Spring 2012 Commencement speaker. President Paino then ended his remarks by once again acknowledging John W. Sisvel, III, and Mark Wasinger for their dedicated and excellence service to the University for the last several years.

Faculty Senate Report
John W. Bohac, President of Faculty Senate and Professor of Art, and Dr. Dana Delaware, President Pro-Tempore of Faculty Senate and Professor and Chair of Chemistry, provided the annual Faculty Senate report.

Academic and Student Affairs Committee Report
Karen Haber, chair of the Academic and Student Affairs Committee, provided a report on the committee meeting held on April 12.

Resolution Amending Chapter 8 of the Policies of the Board of Governors pertaining to Student Conduct Code
Karen Haber moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that Chapter 8 of the Code of Policies of the Board of Governors of Truman State University is hereby amended by repealing Chapter 8, entitled Student Conduct Code, in its entirety and enacting in lieu thereof a new Chapter 8, entitled Student Conduct Code, as set out in Exhibit 2, attached hereto and made a part by reference as though full set out herein.

The motion was seconded by Sarah Burkemper and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted, and the Secretary designated a copy of the document as Exhibit 2.
Finance and Auditing Committee Report
Sarah Burkemper, chair of the Finance and Auditing Committee, provided a report on the committee meeting held on April 12.

Financial Report
Mrs. Burkemper then provided a review of the financial reports of the University, which included a review as of February 29, 2012, of education and general revenues and expenditures and auxiliary systems revenues and expenditures, and a review as of February 29, 2012, of the Truman State University Foundation revenues and expenditures.

Depositary Bank
Sarah Burkemper moved the adoption of the following resolution:

   BE IT RESOLVED that Bank of Kirksville of Kirksville, Missouri, be appointed as depositary for the University’s checking accounts during the 2013 and 2014 fiscal years; and

   BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University be authorized to execute a contract with Bank of Kirksville for its services as such depositary.

The motion was seconded by Cheryl J. Cozette and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.

Budget and Capital Projects Committee Report
Matthew W. Potter, chair of the Budget and Capital Projects Committee, provided a report on the committee meeting held on April 10.

Construction Projects Report
Mr. Potter then provided an update on construction projects which had been approved by the Board at previous meetings.

Contracts for Construction/Technology Projects Report
Mr. Potter noted that there were no construction/technology projects totaling $25,000 to $50,000 which had been approved since the last meeting of the Board.

2012 Storm Drainage Improvements Project
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

   BE IT RESOLVED that the description and budgeted amount for the following construction project be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012 Storm Drainage Improvements Project</td>
<td>$410,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University, or his designee, be authorized to accept the lowest and best bid for the project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the description of the project, as reviewed at the meeting, be attached to the minutes as an exhibit.

The motion was seconded by Cheryl J. Cozette and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted, and the Secretary designated a copy of the document as Exhibit A.

Baldwin Hall, Pershing Building and Violette Hall Roofing and Exterior Renovations Project
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the description and budgeted amount for the following construction project be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Hall, Pershing Building and Violette Hall Roofing and Exterior Renovations Project</td>
<td>$2,260,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University, or his designee, be authorized to accept the lowest and best bid for the project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the description of the project, as reviewed at the meeting, be attached to the minutes as an exhibit.

The motion was seconded by Karen Haber and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted, and the Secretary designated a copy of the document as Exhibit B.

Centennial Hall
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the description and budgeted amount for the following construction project be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centennial Hall Renovations Project</td>
<td>$13,900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University, or his designee, be authorized to accept the lowest and best bid for the project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the description of the project, as reviewed at the meeting, be attached to the minutes as an exhibit.
The motion was seconded by Sarah Burkemper and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted, and the Secretary designated a copy of the document as Exhibit C.

Equipment Purchase
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the purchase of the following item of equipment be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disk Storage System</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motion was seconded by Karen Haber and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.

Enrollment Fees
Matthew W. Potter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the following enrollment fees for full-time students be approved, effective with the 2012 Fall Semester:

1) Undergraduate students who are enrolled in 12 or more, but less than 18, hours of academic credit for each semester:

   Missouri Students...............................$6,978 per academic year
   Out-of-State Students...........................$12,714 per academic year

2) Graduate students who are enrolled in 12 or more, but less than 15, Hours of academic credit for each semester:

   Missouri Students...............................$7,852 per academic year
   Out-of-State Students...........................$13,460 per academic year

BE IF FURTHER RESOLVED that the designated fee for the Student Recreation Center included above be increased to $111 per full-time equivalent student for each semester; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the designated fee for the Student Union included above be increased to $95 per full-time equivalent student for each semester; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following additional enrollment fees for students enrolled in 6 or more semester hours during the academic year be approved as follows:
1) A student activity fee of $80 per academic year ($40 per semester); and
2) A student government fee of $4 per academic year ($2 per semester); and
3) A student health fee of $54 per academic year ($27 per semester); and
4) An athletic fee of $100 per academic year ($50 per semester)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the freshman orientation fee be $315 for freshman students during the fall semester, and a corresponding fee for freshman students participating in comparable orientation programs during other semesters, with each freshman student to pay such an orientation fee only a single time; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University is authorized to establish summer school rates designed to enhance enrollment in such programs; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the enrollment fees for part-time students, interim sessions, study abroad, professional development, on-line classes and the other enrollment fees, and miscellaneous charges not listed in this resolution, be established by the President of the University, based on the above fees and charges, in accordance with Section 11.010 and 11.020 of the Code of Policies

The motion was seconded by Cheryl J. Cozette and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.

Agenda Items for Future Meetings
The Board reviewed a list of proposed agenda items for regular meetings during the next year.

Dates for Future Meetings
Susan Plasmeyer moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that the next regular meeting of the Board of Governors be scheduled for Saturday, June 16, 2012, on the University campus in Kirksville, beginning at 1:00 p.m., with the understanding that the Chair may alter the starting time and/or place for the meeting by giving due notice of such change; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that other regular meetings of the Board during the next year be tentatively scheduled for the following dates:

Saturday, August 4, 2012;
Friday, October 19, 2012;
Saturday, December 1, 2012;
Saturday, February 9, 2013; and
Saturday, April 13, 2013.

The motion was seconded by Sarah Burkemper and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.

Agenda Items for Closed Session
Karen Haber moved the adoption of the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED that this meeting be continued in closed session, with closed records and closed votes as permitted by law, for consideration of the following items as authorized by Section 610.021, Revised Statutes of Missouri:

1. Approval of minutes for the closed session of the last meeting under Subsection 14 of the statute for “Records which are protected from disclosure by law”;
2. Individual personnel actions under Subsection 3 of the statute for “Hiring, firing, disciplining or promoting of particular employees by a public governmental body when personal information about the employee is discussed or recorded”;
3. Confidential communications with the General Counsel; and
4. Purchase of real estate under Subsection 2 of the statute for “Leasing, purchase or sale of real estate by a public governmental body where public knowledge of the transaction might adversely affect the legal consideration therefore;” and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any business not covered by the stated reasons for the closed session is raised during the closed session, then this meeting shall be reopened to the public and an announcement about a resumption of the open session shall be made in the hallway outside of the meeting room.

The motion was seconded by Sarah Burkemper and carried by a unanimous vote of 7 to 0. Mr. Read then declared the motion to be duly adopted.

The closed session of the meeting began shortly after 2:45 p.m.

Cheryl J. Cozette
Secretary of the Board of Governors
I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes were approved by the Board of Governors on the 16th day of June, 2012

Kenneth L. Read
Chair of the Board of Governors
CHAPTER 8

STUDENT CONDUCT CODE

8.010. Purpose
8.020. Definitions
8.030. Administrative Authority
8.040. Jurisdiction
8.050. Expectations for Conduct
8.060. Relationship of Law Enforcement and University Conduct System
8.070. Interim Suspension
8.080. University Conduct System
8.090. Sanction and Remedies
8.100. Review of Decisions
8.110. Interpretation and Periodic Review

8.010. Purpose. State statutes provide that the governing boards of public colleges and universities "shall possess full power and authority to adopt all needful rules and regulations for the guidance and supervision of the conduct of all students" and "to enforce obedience to the rules." Although the grant of authority is broadly stated, it is well recognized that students are citizens. Students have legal rights and deserve to be treated with dignity and respect. For that reason, it is important that the University's standards of student conduct, and the procedures for the enforcement of such standards, are fair and reasonable and are made available to all members of the University community.

Truman State University is a community of scholars. The University aspires to transmit knowledge, to foster student development, and to promote the quality of a mature and diverse society. By enrolling in the University, the student neither loses the rights nor escapes the duties of a citizen but conducts his or her life in the context of mutual regard for the rights, property, and privileges of others. In seeking these goals, the University recognizes the significance of students' rights. Those rights include:

- freedom of expression
- autonomy,
- procedural protection,
- and equity.

By ensuring those individual rights, the University fosters an environment conducive to student success and well-being. In addition, efforts are made to foster the personal and social development of students.

Of course, students have obligations as well as rights. As members of an academic community, students must observe rules that benefit this community. Students must practice personal integrity. By doing so, they respect the dignity, rights, and property of all members of the University community. The Student Conduct Code thus creates an expectation of behavior that the University views as acceptable and appropriate. By fulfilling these expectations, students can enjoy their own rights, while also respecting others' rights and furthering the University's mission.

Student organizations often enrich the campus and community by providing a source of intellectual, personal, and social development for students through their programs and activities. The University fulfills an important mission by providing procedures and policies for the registration and support of
student organizations. Inherent in University registration of student organizations is the obligation of each organization to conduct activities in accordance with all University rules, policies, and applicable laws. It is the responsibility of the officers of each student organization to ensure that the student organization complies with the Student Conduct Code, that activities of the organization are conducted properly, and to actively oppose and prevent any organizational activity that would violate the Student Conduct Code. It is also the obligation of the officers of any student organization to advise and counsel individual members of their organization whose conduct could lead to misconduct allegations against the organization.

The University, as any other, must have a system to address those instances when a member, members, or student organization(s) fails to adhere to the expectations of the community. This Student Conduct Code describes University expectations of students and student organizations and the processes available when a student or student organization has failed to adhere to these expectations. The Code also strives to support the educational environment by being proactive in nurturing appropriate personal and organizational development.

While the university places a high priority on student rights, the University conduct process differs from criminal law processes. This process is designed, in part, to determine whether a student has violated the Student Conduct Code only, not guilt or innocence. The University conduct process is informal and it is not bound by legal jargon, court-like proceedings, formal rules of evidence or the legal definitions that are the province of the criminal courts. Procedures and rights in student conduct proceedings are conducted with fairness to all, but do not include the same protections of due process afforded by the courts. Due process, within these procedures, assures:

- written notice;
- a hearing before an objective decision-maker;
- a finding of violation of Truman State University policy only when information shows that it is more likely than not that a policy violation occurred; and
- sanctions that are proportionate to the severity of the violation and prior conduct history

This policy has been developed in accord with such philosophy and will be administered accordingly.

8.020. Definitions. Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, these terms will be accorded the following meanings.

1. The term "attempting to commit an act" means when a student or student organization, with the purpose of committing an act, takes any action that is a substantial step toward the commission of the act.
2. The term "calendar day" means any recognized day of a year.
3. The term "complainant" means a member of the University community, visitor, guest or the University itself, who files a written complaint with the University alleging that a student or student organization has violated the Student Conduct Code.
4. The term "complicit" means associated with or participating in an act of misconduct.
5. The term "condoned by an officer" means a student organization and its officers may be held collectively or individually responsible when violations of this Code by those associated with the organization have received the tacit or overt consent or encouragement of the organization or the organization’s leaders, officers, or spokespersons.
6. The term "conduct hearing board" means a committee appointed by the Dean of Student Affairs to determine whether a student or student organization has violated the Student Conduct Code and, if a violation is found, to recommend the imposition of sanctions.
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7. The term "Conduct Officer" means any person authorized by the Dean of Student Affairs to implement the provisions of the Student Conduct Code and to provide assistance for any person involved in its operation. In most situations, the Conduct Officer is the Assistant Dean of Student Affairs for Citizenship and Community Standards.

8. The term "Dean of Student Affairs" means the person designated by the President of the University to be responsible for the administration of the Student Conduct Code.

9. The term "faculty member" means any person hired by the University to conduct classroom activities, to supervise other academic credit experiences, or other learning/teaching activities.

10. The term "health" means physical or mental well-being.

11. The term "information" means any witness testimony, documents, statements, or tangible material presented at a hearing or in the course of an investigation of an alleged conduct violation.

12. The term "in violation" means that the student or student organization has been found responsible for a violation of the Student Conduct Code.

13. The term "joint hearing" means any hearing at which two or more students or student organizations are accused of violating one or more provisions of the Student Conduct Code.

14. The terms "may" and "should" are used in the permissive sense.

15. The term "member of the University community" includes any person who is a student, administrator, faculty member, staff member, University official, or any other person employed by the University. A person's status in a particular situation will be determined by the Dean of Student Affairs.

16. The term "more likely than not" is the campus standard of proof. It is equivalent to the legal standard of "preponderance of evidence." The campus standard requires that a student or student organization will be found in violation of the Student Conduct Code only when the information would lead a reasonable person to conclude that it is more likely than not that the accused student's actions violated the Student Conduct Code.

17. The terms "must" and "will" are used in the imperative sense.

18. The term "not in violation" means that the student or student organization has not been found responsible for a violation of the Student Conduct Code.

19. The term "officer" means a person in a student organization who holds an elected or appointed leadership position in that organization.

20. The term "paraphernalia" includes any object that contains the residue of (a) alcohol or (b) an illegal drug or (c) any object that is used in the consumption or distribution of an illegal drug. Examples of "a" include, but are not limited to a beer bong and empty alcoholic containers. Examples of "b" include, but are not limited to a marijuana pipe, bong, or blow tube. An example of "c" is a scale used in measuring quantities of an illegal drug.

21. The term "pervasive" means any conduct which is sufficiently widespread or severe that it pollutes the educational environment and can be said to deprive one of educational access, benefits or opportunities. Can also mean "persistent" in the sense of a repetition of the act.

22. The term "policy" or "policies" means the written regulations of the University as approved by the Board of Governors, the President of the University, or other University officials, and as set forth in general publications such as, but not limited to, the bylaws and policies of the Board of Governors, general/graduate bulletins, student handbooks, residence life handbooks, student organization recognition agreement, vehicle rules and regulations, or in special announcements about specific issues which are openly and fairly made available to students and student organizations.

23. The term "prior record" means that the accused student or student organization engaged in acts prior to the incident in question which violated the Student Conduct Code or legal statutes. A prior record includes, but is not limited to, past action taken for misconduct, any previous conduct hearing, documents sent to an accused student or student organization concerning any act of misconduct, and informal hearing records. A finding of "in violation" of the Student Conduct Code will be considered a prior record even if a review of the finding and/or sanction is pending. A prior
record can only be used in recommending or determining sanctions and will not be revealed during the hearing process except as outlined in the Sanctions section below.

24. The term "sanctions" means the consequences and remedies imposed by the Office of Citizenship and Community Standards when a student is found to have violated the Student Conduct Code.

25. The term "student" or "students" include all persons taking or auditing classes at the University, both full-time and part-time, pursuing undergraduate, graduate, or professional studies; matriculated in any University program; and those who attend post-secondary educational institutions other than Truman State University and who reside in University residence halls. Persons who are not officially enrolled for a particular term, but who have a continuing relationship with the University, are considered "students."

26. The term "Student Conduct Code" means the policy in this chapter of the Code of Policies of the Board of Governors establishing rules for the conduct of students at Truman State University.

27. The term "student organization" means (a) any number of persons who have applied for recognition/registration as a student organization by the University or (b) any number of persons who have complied with the formal requirements for University recognition/registration as a student organization.

28. The term "University" means Truman State University.

29. The term "University conduct system" means the processes and entities created in this chapter to implement the provisions of the Student Conduct Code.

30. The term "University official" means any person employed by the University performing assigned administrative or professional responsibilities. This term specifically includes residence hall Student Advisors.

31. The term "University premises" includes all land, buildings, facilities, and other property owned or controlled by the University.

8.030. Administrative Authority. The authority to administer and implement the Student Conduct Code is vested in the President of the University. Unless the President of the University elects to be directly involved in the administration of the Student Conduct Code, the authority for such administration is delegated to the Dean of Student Affairs, who will implement the policy. The Dean of Student Affairs will develop policies for the administration of the student conduct program and procedural rules for the conduct of hearings that are consistent with state and federal laws and with the provisions of the Student Conduct Code.

8.040. Jurisdiction.

8.040.1. Jurisdiction over Student Conduct. Students at Truman State University are provided access to the Student Conduct Code on the Office of Citizenship and Community Standards website http://conduct.truman.edu or may request a printed copy from the office. Students are charged with the responsibility of having read, and agreeing to abide by, the provisions of the Student Conduct Code and the authority of the student conduct process. Because the Student Conduct Code is based on shared values, it sets a range of expectations for the Truman State University student no matter where or when their conduct may take place. Therefore, the Student Conduct Code will apply to behaviors that take place on the campus, at Truman State University-sponsored events, and may also apply off-campus, when the administration determines that the off-campus conduct affects a substantial Truman State University interest. The University extends its jurisdiction to misconduct occurring online on university networks and sponsored sites. The University also responds to complaints of misconduct online (such as posts to social media websites), even if the misconduct occurs off campus, when it falls under the "substantial university interest" standard. A substantial Truman State University interest is defined to include:

a. Any action that constitutes a misdemeanor or felony criminal offense as provided in local, state, or federal law.
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b. Any situation where it appears that the student may present a danger or threat to the health or safety of him/herself or others.

c. Any situation that significantly impinges upon the rights, property or achievements of self or others or significantly breaches the peace and/or causes social disorder.

d. Any situation that is detrimental to the educational mission of Truman State University.

The Student Conduct Code may be applied to conduct that takes place from the time of admission, during the time a person is enrolled as a student, including during intra-semester breaks and between semesters. Further, the Student Conduct Code applies to guests of students, whose hosts may be held accountable for the misconduct of their guests. Visitors to and guests of Truman State University are also protected by the Student Conduct Code, and may initiate grievances for violations of the Student Conduct Code committed by students against them. Those who are aware of misconduct are encouraged to report it as quickly as possible to the Office of Citizenship and Community Standards.

8.040.2. Student Organization Jurisdiction. A student organization, its officers, and advisor(s) acknowledge on an annual basis through completion and signing of the Student Organization Recognition Agreement that they have read, reviewed, and agreed that the organization and its members will abide by the Student Conduct Code and the rules for student organization conduct. Student organization officers are responsible for actively addressing member behavior that violates the Student Conduct Code, both individually and behavior that is considered an organizational violation. The “reasonable person” standard will be utilized by the Conduct Officer in determining if the behavior by the organization, its officers, members, and/or guests is the responsibility of the student organization. A violation exists when a reasonable person would conclude that it is more likely than not that the act in question did occur and is the responsibility of the student organization. Organizational responsibility may extend to events in which organizations participate as well as act as sponsors, hosts and/or cohosts (i.e. mixers, Greek Week, Homecoming). In such cases, the University will consider the following criteria to determine the extent of responsibility:

- Number of members in attendance;
- National association definition of “events”;
- Location of an event (i.e. chapter house, organization rented property, etc.);
- Participation of an organization leader in the planning of the even;
- Use of organization funds to finance the event;
- Promotion or endorsement of the event by the organization to members and/or non-affiliated guests;
- Patterns of individual violations found to exist without proper and appropriate group control, remedy, or sanction; and/or the
- Importance of an organization’s participation in relation to the event’s purpose (i.e. Would the event still exist without the organization’s participation?).

A student organization is subject to the jurisdiction of the University Conduct System if it allegedly violated a provision of the Student Conduct Code:

1. Which occurs on University premises; or
2. Which occurs at any location during activities or events arranged or sponsored by the University or by a student, student organization(s), or by an officer or a student acting on behalf of, or at the request of an organization(s); or
3. Which occurs at any location if such conduct presents a danger or threat to the health or safety of members, guests, or others; or
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4. Which occurs at any location if such conduct is so grievous that it could seriously and adversely affect the reputation of the University, its community, and/or the pursuit of the University's objectives, or
5. If the organization(s) chooses to protect one or more individual offenders who are members, alumni or guests of the organization(s) or guests at the organization(s)' activity; or
6. The offense, by its nature or after a review of facts and circumstances, is deemed an organizational violation since the organization, its officers, and/or leadership failed to exercise reasonable supervision of its member(s) or guest(s); or
7. When, after hearing a complaint, the conduct officer or conduct hearing board deems that the offense, by its nature, was an organizational violation and not the actions of an individual member.

8.050. Expectations for Conduct.

8.050.1. Expectations for Student Conduct. At Truman State University, student members of the community are expected to uphold and abide by certain standards of conduct that form the basis of the Student Conduct Code. These standards are embodied within a set of core values that include trust, community, civility and responsible citizenship. When members of the community fail to exemplify these values, campus conduct proceedings are used to assert and uphold the Student Conduct Code.

Any student found to have committed, to have attempted to commit, to have assisted, or to have been complicit in any of the following acts of misconduct is subject to the sanctions hereafter described in this chapter.

**TRUST:** Trust is a deeply held community value. Students at Truman State University exemplify honesty, integrity and a respect for truth in all of their dealings. Behavior that demonstrates a lapse of trust includes, but is not limited to:

1. **Acts of academic misconduct/dishonesty,** including, but not limited to the following:
   1.1. **Cheating:** Defined as using or attempting to use unauthorized (a) materials, (b) information, or (c) study aids in any academic exercise.
   1.2. **Fabrication:** Defined as unauthorized (a) falsification or (b) invention of any information (including research data) or citation in an academic exercise.
   1.3. **Facilitating academic dishonesty:** Defined as (a) assisting or (b) attempting to assist another to commit an act of academic dishonesty, whether or not that action is associated with any particular course.
   1.4. **Plagiarism:** Intentional representation of the words or ideas of another as one’s own in any academic exercise. The term "plagiarism" includes, but is not limited to, (a) the use, by paraphrase or direct quotation, of the published or unpublished work or sections of a work of another person without full and clear acknowledgement; (b) the unacknowledged use of materials prepared by another person or agency engaged in the selling of term papers or other academic materials, including material taken from or ordered through the Internet; and/or (c) the unacknowledged use of original work/material that has been produced through collaboration with others without release in writing from collaborators.
   1.5. **Sabotage:** Defined as, but is not limited to, the unauthorized interference with, modification of, or destruction of the work or intellectual property of another member of the University community.

2. **Taking property/property damage.**
   2.1. Intentional taking of (a) University property or (b) property of another.
   2.2. Intentional or reckless damage to (a) University property or (b) property of another.
2.3. Procuring any money, goods, services, or thing of value under false pretenses, including the issuance of a check, draft, money order, or use of a credit card knowing that it will be dishonored upon presentation for payment.

2.4. Knowingly taking possession of stolen property.

3. Providing false and/or misleading information and/or falsification of University records.
   3.1. (a) Lying, (b) deceiving, or (c) furnishing false and/or misleading information for the purpose of causing another person or University official to act or refrain from acting;
   3.2. (a) Forgery, (b) alteration, or (c) misuse of any document, record, material, file, or instrument of identification;
   3.3. Deliberately or purposefully providing false or misleading verbal or written information about another person that results in damage to the person's reputation.
   3.4. Tampering with or improperly attempting to influence the election(s) of any student organization(s) or group.

4. Unauthorized use of keys, and/or entry.
   4.1. Unauthorized (a) possession, (b) duplication or (c) use of keys, codes or passwords to gain entry to any University premises.
   4.2. Unauthorized (a) entry, (b) attempted entry, (c) use of University premises or (d) remaining in private or restricted areas of University or community facilities is prohibited.

5. Misuse of computing resources through failure to comply with laws, license agreements, and contracts governing network, software, and hardware use. Abuse of the University Computer Use Policy, including, but not limited to:
   5.1. Any use deemed commercial or for-profit.
   5.2. Any use that is likely, intended, or by negligence causes unauthorized network (a) disruption, (b) system failure, or (c) data corruption.
   5.3. Any use related to achieving, enabling, or hiding unauthorized access to (a) network resources, (b) Truman owned software, or (c) other information belonging to Truman State University, either within or outside the Truman network.
   5.4. Any use related to sending/receiving electronic mail that includes, but not limited to, the following: (a) solicitation or commercial use, (b) forging any portion of an electronic mail message, (c) spamming (bulk unsolicited email), (d) sending unwanted messages to unwilling recipients, or (e) invasion of privacy.
   5.5. Intentionally circumventing or building an unauthorized conduit through the University firewall with intentions of bypassing University (a) network management and/or (b) security devices.
   5.6. Use of another individual's (a) identification; (b) network, email or other university based account; and/or (c) related passwords.
   5.7. Unauthorized transfer or entry into a file, (a) to read, use, or change the contents; or (b) for any other reason.
   5.8. Use of computing facilities or network resources to send (a) obscene, (b) harassing, (c) threatening messages, or (d) computer viruses or worms.
   5.9. Any use that violates Truman (a) policies, (b) procedures, and (c) contractual agreements.
   5.10. Any use that violates (a) local, (b) state or (c) federal laws.

6. University wordmark violations. Unauthorized use of the (a) University's name, (b) abbreviation, (c) trademarks or (d) wordmarks, including the Bulldog, logo, seal, or other graphic identity symbols.
COMMUNITY: Truman State University students honor and value their community. Behavior that violates this value includes, but is not limited to:

7. **Disruptive conduct.**
   7.1. Disruption or obstruction of (a) teaching, (b) research, (c) administration, (d) free flow of pedestrian or vehicular traffic on University premises (e) academic pursuits, (f) residential and/or social activities.
   7.2. Disruption or obstruction of (a) teaching, (b) research, (c) administration, (d) free flow of pedestrian or vehicular traffic at University recognized activities and events.
   7.3. Creating a fire, safety, or health hazard through misuse, abuse, rendering inoperable, or degrading the effectiveness of any University safety equipment, fire fighting equipment, or fire alarms.
   7.4. Activating a fire or emergency alarm, extinguisher, or other safety device without good cause or reasonable justification.
   7.5. Failure to evacuate a Truman State University-owned building during a fire alarm;
   7.6. Intentionally providing a false report of an explosive or incendiary device that constitutes a threat or bomb scare.
   7.7. Any act that deliberately interferes with the academic freedom or the freedom of speech of any member or guest of the University community.
   7.8. Conduct that is (a) disorderly, (b) lewd, or (c) indecent.
   7.9. Conduct that is a violation of the Code and is perpetrated because of the person’s actual or perceived race, religion, national origin, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability or other protected status.
   7.10. Conduct that excludes a member of the community or diminishes that member’s participation in academic, residential and/or social activities on the basis of that person’s actual or perceived race, religion, national origin, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability or other protected status. This expectation, however, will not be interpreted to abridge the right of any member or organization protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution or other applicable law.
   7.11. Retaliation, defined as any adverse action taken against a member of the community for bringing or participating in a complaint of discrimination, harassment and/or sexual misconduct under this code.
   7.12. An act that (a) is a breach of peace or (b) that aids, abets, or procures another person to breach the peace on University premises, at activities or events arranged or sponsored by the University, or sponsored by a student organization(s), regardless of location.
   7.13. Failure to comply with oral or written instruction from duly authorized (a) University officials acting within the scope of their job duties or (b) law enforcement officers acting in performance of their duties.
   7.14. Failure to identify oneself upon request to (a) University officials acting within the scope of their job duties or (b) law enforcement officers acting in performance of their duties when requested to do so. A student is required to produce his/her University ID card upon the request of an official or law enforcement officer.
   7.15. Complicity. Condoning, supporting, or encouraging a violation of University policy. Students who anticipate or observe a violation of University policy are expected to remove themselves from the situation, and are encouraged to report it immediately.

8. **Violation of University policies** as defined above in this chapter, when such policies are published or otherwise made known to students in a fair and open manner (including, but not limited to, the Student Handbook, Residence Life Handbook, General/Graduate Catalog, University websites, etc.).
9. **Misconduct at University sponsored/related activities.** Violation of University rules or regulations of a host institution sponsored/related activity.

10. **Abuse of University conduct procedures, including, but not limited to:**
   10.1. Failure to obey the summons of the University Conduct system or University official.
   10.2. Falsification, distortion, or misrepresentation of information to the University Conduct system.
   10.3. Disruption of or interference with the orderly operation of the University Conduct system.
   10.4. Initiation of a conduct proceeding knowingly without cause.
   10.5. Failure to provide, destroying or hiding information during an investigation of an alleged policy violation;
   10.6. Attempting to discourage an individual's proper participation in, or use of, the University Conduct system.
   10.7. Attempting or committing an act of retaliation against a person or student organization that has reported or intends to report a violation of the Student Conduct Code.
   10.8. Attempting to influence the impartiality of a member of a conduct hearing board prior to, or during the course of, participation in the University Conduct system;
   10.9. Harassment, abuse, or intimidation of a member of a conduct hearing board prior to, during, or after participation in the University Conduct system.
   10.10. Failure to comply with the sanction or sanctions imposed under the Student Conduct Code.
   10.11. Influencing or attempting to influence another person to commit an abuse of the University Conduct system.

11. **Social Host.** It is the responsibility of any student who hosts a visitor or guest on campus to ensure that the person knows and adheres to the Student Conduct Code and University policies. In instances where guests violate rules or policies, the student host may be held responsible. Whether a visitor is a student, alumni, non-student, or non-identified guest, the student host may be held responsible for violations of the Student Conduct Code and University policies. Responsibility under these rules may occur even if the host is not a participant in the activity or has left the visitor(s) alone.

12. **Conduct that is** (a) obscene based on contemporary community standards or that is (b) detrimental to the University's image as a public institution.

**CIVILITY:** Truman State University students exemplify civil and respectful treatment of each other in their dealings and interactions. Behavior that violates this value includes, but is not limited to:

13. **Personal Abuse.**
   13.1. **Physical harm** or threat of physical harm to any person.
   13.2. **Harassment:** physical, verbal, or written conduct on the basis of an individual’s age, disability, ethnic background, nationality, gender, race, religion, or sexual orientation that is so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive that it interferes with, limits or denies educational, work and/or social access, benefits or opportunities. Student harassment occurs when the student’s conduct (a) unreasonably interferes with a person’s work or educational performance or (b) creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment for working, learning, or social interaction at the University (including written, telephone, or electronic communication.) This definition, however, will not be interpreted to abridge the right of any member of the University community to freedom of expression protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and other applicable law.
13.3. **Failure to respect the privacy of other individuals**, including, but not limited to, eavesdropping, surveillance, or intruding upon the privacy of another person or group by means of bugging devices, concealed recorders, magnifying optics, etc.

13.4. **Student conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of** any other person(s).

13.5. **Violence between those in an intimate relationship to each other**.

13.6. **Stalking**, defined as repetitive and/or menacing pursuit, following, harassment and/or interference with the peace and/or safety of a member of the community; or the safety of any of the immediate family of members of the community.

14. **Sexual misconduct.**

14.1. **Sexual harassment**: Defined as gender-based, verbal, written or physical conduct of a sexual nature, that is so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive that it interferes with, limits or denies educational, work and/or social access, benefits or opportunities. Sexual harassment occurs when the student’s conduct (a) unreasonably interferes with a person’s work or educational performance or (b) creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment for working, learning, or social interaction at the University (including written, telephone, or electronic communication.) This definition, however, will not be interpreted to abridge the right of any member of the University community to freedom of expression protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and other applicable law. Both men and women can be sexually harassed. Sexual harassment can occur between peers, and in situations where there is an actual or perceived power differential between those involved. Types of power that can result in sexual harassment among peers may include but are not limited to inequalities in: numbers; physical size; control over social, academic and/or vocational rewards.

**Types of Sexual Harassment**

A. Hostile Environment includes any situation in which there is harassing conduct that is sufficiently severe, pervasive and objectively offensive so that it alters the conditions of education or employment, from both a subjective (the alleged victim’s) and an objective (reasonable person’s) viewpoint. The determination of whether an environment is “hostile” must be based on all of the circumstances. These circumstances could include:

- the frequency of the conduct;
- the nature and severity of the conduct;
- whether the conduct was physically threatening;
- whether the conduct was humiliating;
- the effect of the conduct on the alleged victim’s mental or emotional state;
- whether the conduct was directed at more than one person;
- whether the conduct arose in the context of other discriminatory conduct;
- whether the conduct unreasonably interfered with the alleged victim’s educational or work performance;
- whether the statement is a mere utterance of an epithet which engenders offense in an employee or student, or offends by mere discourtesy or rudeness;
- whether the statement or conduct is speech protected by the US Constitution.

B. **Quid pro quo sexual harassment exists when there are**:.

- unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors or other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature; and
- submission to such conduct results in favorable educational or employment action; or
- rejection of such conduct results in adverse educational or employment action.
14.2. **Retaliation Prohibited:** Retaliatory action, as defined above, shall be regarded as a separate and distinct cause for complaint under this policy and any other applicable policies.

14.3. **Non-consensual sexual intercourse** is any sexual intercourse involving anal, oral or vaginal penetration, however slight, by a person upon another person, without consent.

14.4. **Non-consensual sexual contact** is any intentional sexual touching, however slight, by a person upon another person, without consent.

**Consent**
Consent is clear, knowing and voluntary permission for specific sexual activity. Consent is active, not passive. Silence, in and of itself, cannot be interpreted as consent. Consent can be given by words or actions, as long as those words or actions create mutually understandable permission regarding the conditions of sexual activity -- who, what, when, where, why and how sexual activity will take place. In order to be effective, consent cannot be procured by use of physical force, compelling threats, intimidating behavior, or coercion. Coercive behavior differs from seductive behavior based on the type of pressure someone uses to get consent from another. When someone makes clear to you that they do not want sex, that they want to stop, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued pressure beyond that point can be coercive. In order to give effective consent, one must be of legal age.

If you have sexual activity with someone you know to be—or should know to be—mentally or physically incapacitated (unable to make rational, reasonable judgments as the result of alcohol or other drug use, unconsciousness or blackout), you are in violation of this policy. Alcohol or other drug use by an accused individual will not function to excuse a violation of this policy.

a. Any time sexual activity takes place between individuals, those individuals must be capable of controlling their physical actions and be capable of making rational, reasonable decisions about their sexual behavior.

b. This policy also covers someone whose incapacity results from mental disability, sleep, involuntary physical restraint, or from the taking of a so-called “date-rape” drug. Possession, use and/or distribution of any of these substances (e.g., Rohypnol, Ketamine, GHB, Burundanga, etc.) is prohibited, and administering one of these drugs to another individual for the purpose of inducing incapacity is a violation of this policy. More information on these drugs can be found at [http://www.911rape.org/](http://www.911rape.org/)

c. Use of alcohol or other drugs will never function to excuse behavior that violates this policy.

The requirements of this policy are blind to the sexual orientation or preference of individuals engaging in sexual activity. Sexual activity includes:

a. Intentional contact with the breasts, buttocks, groin, genitals, mouth or other orifice, or touching another with any of these body parts, or making another touch you or themselves with or on any of these body parts;

b. Any intentional bodily contact in a sexual manner, though not involving contact with/of/by breasts, buttocks, groin, genitals, mouth or other orifice.
c. Intercourse however slight, meaning vaginal penetration by a penis, object, tongue or finger; anal penetration by a penis, object, tongue, or finger; and oral copulation (mouth to genital contact or genital to mouth contact).

14.5. Sexual exploitation occurs when a student takes non-consensual or abusive sexual advantage of another for his/her own advantage or benefit, or to benefit or advantage anyone other than the one being exploited, and that behavior does not otherwise constitute one of other sexual misconduct offenses. Examples of sexual exploitation include, but are not limited to: prostituting another student; non-consensual video or audio-taping of sexual activity; going beyond the boundaries of consent (such as letting your friends hide in the closet to watch you having consensual sex); engaging in voyeurism (Peeping Tom); knowingly transmitting an STI or HIV to another student.

15. Abusive affiliation (hazing), which the law might recognize by the term "hazing" is any act on or off the campus of the University, that a reasonable person would find to endanger the mental or physical health or comfort or safety of a student or prospective student or member, or which results in the destruction or removal of public or private property, or which causes extreme embarrassment, or public humiliation, for the purpose of initiation or admission into, affiliation with, or confirming any form of affiliation, or continued membership in a student organization regardless of an individual’s consent to participate in the activity. Acts of abusive affiliation include, but are not limited to:

15.1. Any activity which endangers the physical health or safety of the student or prospective member, including, but not limited to, physical brutality, whipping, beating, paddling, slapping, kicking, choking, scratching, branding, exposure to the elements, forced, pressured or coerced consumption of any food, liquor, drug, or other substance, or forced, pressured or coerced smoking or chewing of tobacco products; or

15.2 Any activity that endangers the mental health of the student or prospective member, including but not limited to sleep deprivation, physical confinement, placing prospective members of an organization or group in ambiguous situations which lead to confusion and emotional stress or other extreme stress inducing activity; or

15.3 Any activity that requires the student or prospective member to perform a duty or task that involves a violation of the criminal laws of this state or any University policies, rules, or regulations published in University documents; or

15.4 Subservience, including but not limited to any activity which promotes a class system within organizations or activities which facilitate inappropriate levels of authority over students.

RESPONSIBLE CITIZENSHIP: Truman State University students are given and accept a high level of responsibility as role models. Responsible citizenship requires self-reflection and acceptance of the duty to model ethical and moral conduct. Behavior that violates this value includes, but is not limited to:

16. Narcotics, controlled substances (including but not limited to marijuana), chemicals, and drug paraphernalia violations.

16.1. (a) Manufacture, (b) possession, (c) use or under the influence of, (d) sale, (e) distribution of narcotic or other controlled substances, (f) drug paraphernalia, and/or (g) other chemicals is prohibited, without proper prescription or required license, except as expressly permitted by law or University regulation. Possession could mean in the presence of narcotic or other controlled substances, drug paraphernalia, or other chemicals.

16.2. Misuse or abuse of prescription medications and/or drugs.

16.3. Operating a vehicle on University property, or on streets or roadways adjacent to or abutting University property, under the influence of a narcotic or other controlled substance.

17. Alcohol violations.
17.1. Public intoxication on University premises.
17.2. (a) Manufacture, (b) possession, (c) use, (d) sale, or (e) distribution of alcoholic beverages or alcohol paraphernalia on University premises, when such manufacture, possession, use, sale, or distribution is prohibited by law or University rules. Possession could mean knowingly in the presence of alcohol.
17.3. Operating a vehicle on University property, or on streets or roadways adjacent to or abutting University property, under the influence of alcohol.
17.4. Furnishing or allowing the furnishing of any alcoholic beverage to any person (a) under the legal drinking age; (b) in a state of noticeable intoxication; or (c) who is on University premises.

18. **Possession and/or use of a firearm and/or dangerous material.**
18.1. Possession of (a) firearms (including BB, paint, and pellet guns) or (b) any other weapons other than a common pocket knife on University premises or at University sponsored/related activities unless specifically approved by a University official.
18.2. Possession of (a) fireworks, (b) explosives, (c) dangerous chemicals which are disruptive, explosive, or corrosive on University premises or at University sponsored/related activities unless specifically approved by a University official.

19. **Gambling is prohibited at activities or events arranged or sponsored by the University, on University premises, or sponsored by a student organization(s), regardless of location.** It is prohibited to:
19.1. Play or sponsor an unlawful game of chance for money or for anything of value (a) on University premises or (b) at a University or student organization sponsored activity or event.
19.2. Sell, barter, or dispose of a ticket, order, or any interest in a scheme of chance by whatever name (a) on University premises or (b) at a University or student organization sponsored activity or event.
19.3. Wager on a University team or organization in a competition, with or without an intent to have a direct influence on the outcome of the competition (a) on University premises or (b) at a University or student organization sponsored activity or event.

20. **Instigation or participation in group disturbances during demonstration(s), parade(s), or picketing.**
20.1. Participation in, leading or inciting others to demonstration(s), parade(s), or picketing which (a) disrupts the normal operations of the University; (b) infringes on the rights of other members of the University community; (c) obstructs or unreasonably interferes with freedom of movement, either pedestrian or vehicular, on University premises; or (d) which jeopardizes public order or safety.

21. Allegation of **commission of felony or misdemeanor.** Allegation of commission of an act which may be a (a) felony or (b) misdemeanor or (c) other crime as provided in local, state, or federal law will also constitute a violation of this Code, and subject the accused student to conduct action, whether or not prosecuted by public officials.

Acts of misconduct by a student will be cumulative in effect, and all acts of misconduct may be considered together in deciding whether the Student Conduct Code has been violated and, if a violation is found, the imposition of sanctions.

21. **Expectations for Student Organization Conduct.** In addition to all of the expectations for student conduct listed above, the following acts are prohibited and any student organization found to have committed, have attempted to commit, or to have assisted in any of the following acts of misconduct is
subject to the sanctions hereafter described in this chapter. Sanctions for student organization misconduct may include revocation or denial of recognition, registration, or charter, as well as other appropriate sanctions, pursuant to 8.080 of this Code.

TRUST: Trust is a deeply held community value. Organizations at Truman State University exemplify honesty, integrity and a respect for truth in all of their dealings. Behavior that demonstrates a lapse of trust includes, but is not limited to:

1. Taking property/property damage.
   1.1. Intentional taking of (a) University property or (b) property of another.
   1.2. Intentional or reckless damage to (a) University property or (b) property of another.
   1.3. Procuring any money, goods, services, or thing of value under false pretenses, including the issuance of a check, draft, money order, or use of a credit card knowing that it will be dishonored upon presentation for payment.
   1.4. Knowingly taking possession of stolen property;
   1.5. Keeping in its possession property or services not belonging to their organization without authorization.

2. Providing false and/or misleading information and/or falsification of University records.
   2.1. (a) Lying, (b) deceiving, or (c) furnishing false and/or misleading information for the purpose of causing another person or University official to act or refrain from acting.
   2.2. (a) Forgery, (b) alteration, or (c) misuse of any document, record, material, file, or instrument of identification.
   2.3. Deliberately or purposefully providing false or misleading verbal or written information about another person that results in damage to the person’s reputation.
   2.4. Tampering with or improperly attempting to influence the election(s) of any student organization(s) or group.

3. Unauthorized use of keys, and/or entry.
   3.1. Unauthorized (a) possession, (b) duplication or (c) use of keys, codes or passwords to gain entry to any University premises.
   3.2. Unauthorized (a) entry, (b) attempted entry, (c) use of University premises or (d) remaining in private or restricted areas of University or community facilities is prohibited.

4. University wordmark violations.
   4.1. Unauthorized use of the (a) University’s name, (b) abbreviation, (c) trademarks or (d) wordmarks, including the Bulldog, logo, seal, or other graphic identity symbols.
   4.2. The phrases “Truman State” or “Truman State University” (or some form thereof) cannot precede the title of the organization or group.

COMMUNITY: Truman State University organizations honor and value their community. Behavior that violates this value includes, but is not limited to:

5. Disruptive Conduct. No student organization will:
   5.1. Act in a manner that can reasonably be expected to disturb the academic pursuits or infringe upon the privacy, rights, privileges, health or safety of (a) students, (b) the University community, or (c) local community.
   5.2. Obstruct the (a) free movement of other students around the campus, (b) interfere with the use of University facilities, or (c) prevent the normal operation of University.
   5.3. Condone or encourage acts that cause physical harm.
   5.4. Engage in obscene or indecent conduct.
5.5. Fail to comply with the administrative policies as enacted by the University.
5.6. Fail to comply with the directions of (a) University officials or (b) authorized agents acting in the performance of their duties.

6. **Compliance with the Directions or Requests of University Officials.**
   6.1. Student organization officers, leaders and spokespersons will comply with all directions or requests of (a) University officials or (b) law enforcement officers in a timely manner.
   6.2. Student organization members will comply with all directions or requests of (a) University officials or (b) law enforcement officers in a timely manner.

7. **Use of Facilities.**
   7.1. Registered student organizations planning events in University facilities are responsible for knowing any applicable policies.
   7.2. Registered student organizations planning events in University facilities are expected to be in full compliance with any applicable policies.
   7.3. Those individuals acting on behalf of an organization that reserves facilities should check with the University department or office responsible for the facility to guarantee that all procedures have been followed.

8. **Fire Safety and Sanitation.**
   8.1. (a) Tampering with or (b) damaging fire safety equipment is prohibited.
   8.2. (a) Causing, (b) condoning, or (c) encouraging the creation of any situation involving incendiary or other chemicals or substances, explosives or fire that reasonably may result in danger to another’s person or property is prohibited.
   8.3. (a) Possession or (b) use of fireworks, incendiary devices, or dangerous explosives is prohibited.
   8.4. Failure to properly maintain a student organization’s facilities, property (furnishings, equipment, etc.), or surrounding real estate property so as to create a potential danger to the health and/or safety of the occupants or members of the University and surrounding community is prohibited.

9. **Abuse of University Conduct procedures**, including but not limited to:
   9.1. Failure to obey the summons of the University Conduct system or University official.
   9.2. Falsification, distortion, or misrepresentation of information to the University Conduct system.
   9.3. Disruption or interference with the orderly conduct of the University Conduct system.
   9.4. Initiation of a conduct proceeding knowingly without cause.
   9.5. Failure to provide, destroying or hiding information during an investigation of an alleged policy violation;
   9.6. Attempting to discourage or discouraging an individual’s proper participation in, or use of, the University Conduct system.
   9.7. Attempting or committing an act of retaliation against a person or student organization that has reported a violation of the Student Conduct Code.
   9.8. Attempting to influence the impartiality of a member of a conduct hearing body or hearing officer prior to, or during the course of, a University Conduct proceeding.
   9.9. Harassment of a member of a conduct hearing body prior to, during, or after a University Conduct proceeding.
   9.10. Failure to comply with the sanction or sanctions imposed under the Student Conduct Code.
   9.11. Influencing or attempting to influence another person to commit an abuse of the University Conduct system.
10. Conduct that is (a) obscene based on contemporary community standards or that is (b) detrimental to the University’s image as a public institution.

11. Other misconduct.
11.1. Social Host. It is the responsibility of any student organization who hosts a visitor or guest on campus, at a University sponsored event/activity or student organization sponsored event/activity, to ensure that the guest knows and adheres to the Student Conduct Code. In instances where guests violate rules or codes, the student organization host will be held responsible. Student organizations are also responsible for all that occurs within facilities they have reserved for use on campus, or which they own or rent off-campus. Whether a visitor is a student, alumni, non-student, or non-identified guest, the student organization host will be held responsible for violations of the Student Conduct Code. Responsibility under these rules may occur even if the host organizations’ officers are not participants in the activity or have left the visitor(s) alone.

11.2. Violation of University policies as defined above in this chapter, when such policies are published or otherwise made known to students in a fair and open manner (including, but not limited to, the Student Handbook, Residence Life Handbook, General/Graduate Catalog, University website, etc.).

11.3. Misconduct at University sponsored/related activities. Violation of University rules of a host institution sponsored/related activity.

CIVILITY: Truman State University organizations exemplify civil and respectful treatment of others in their dealings and interactions. Behavior that violates this value includes, but is not limited to:

12. Damage to Property. Intentional or reckless (a) damage or (b) destruction of another’s property is prohibited.

13.1. Origination or circulation of any advertising media that contains matter that violates or is contrary to the policies of the University, Student Organization Recognition Agreement, Center for Student Involvement, Department of Residence Life, Student Recreation Center, Student Union, and/or federal, state or local law is prohibited.

13.2. Origination or circulation of any advertising media containing (a) false information, (b) misleading information, (c) obscene language, (d) patently offensive material, (e) the promotion of alcohol consumption, or (f) illegal activities/behavior is prohibited.

14. Abusive affiliation, (hazing), which the law might recognize by the term "hazing" is any act on or off the campus of the University, that a reasonable person would find to endanger the mental or physical health or comfort or safety of a student or prospective student or member, or which results in the destruction or removal of public or private property, or which causes extreme embarrassment, or public humiliation, for the purpose of initiation or admission into, affiliation with, or confirming any form of affiliation, or continued membership in a student organization regardless of an individual’s consent to participate in the activity. Acts of abusive affiliation include, but not be limited to:

14.1. Any activity which endangers the physical health or safety of the student or prospective member, including, but not limited to, physical brutality, whipping, beating, paddling, slapping, kicking, choking, scratching, branding, exposure to the elements, forced, pressured, or coerced consumption of any food, liquor, drug, or other substance, or forced, pressured, or coerced smoking or chewing of tobacco products; or

14.2. Any activity that endangers the mental health of the student or prospective member, including but not limited to sleep deprivation, physical confinement, placing prospective members of an
organization or group in ambiguous situations which lead to confusion and emotional stress or other extreme stress inducing activity; or

14.3. Any activity that requires the student or prospective member to perform a duty or task that involves a violation of the criminal laws of this state or any University policies, rules, or regulations published in University documents; or

14.4. Subservience, including but not limited to any activity which promotes a class system within organizations or activities which facilitate inappropriate levels of authority over students.

RESPONSIBLE CITIZENSHIP: Truman State University organizations are given and accept a high level of responsibility as role models. Responsible citizenship requires self-reflection and acceptance of the duty to model ethical and moral conduct. Behavior that violates this value includes, but is not limited to:

15. Alcohol and Drug Related Misconduct. Missouri law prohibits possession or consumption of alcoholic beverages by those under the legal drinking age and prohibits making alcoholic beverages available to persons under the legal drinking age.

15.1. No organization will furnish or cause to be or allow to be furnished any alcoholic beverage to any person under the legal drinking age.

15.2. The sale of alcohol is prohibited at organization events, as are donations, sales of items, or other financial arrangements that are used to secure funding for the purchase of alcohol.

15.3. No organization will furnish or cause to be or allow to be furnished any alcoholic beverage to any person in a state of noticeable intoxication.

15.4. The unlawful (a) manufacture (b) possession, (c) use, (d) sale, (e) distribution of narcotic or other controlled substances, (f) drug paraphernalia, and/or (g) other chemicals is prohibited. Each organization will take all necessary steps to see that this regulation is not violated at functions it sponsors as well as on any property it owns, operates, and/or rents.

15.5. Each student organization will take all necessary steps to see that no person under the legal drinking age possesses any alcoholic beverages at (a) functions it sponsors as well as within any (b) property or (c) transportation it owns, operates, and/or rents.

15.6. When alcoholic beverages are present at off-campus activities sponsored by a student organization, (a) the organization must provide non-carbonated, non-alcoholic beverages and an adequate supply of food that is in plain view of those attending; and (b) the organization must not permit, encourage, or sponsor participation in pre-partying or any drinking games or themes that might encourage the rapid/excessive consumption of alcohol.

16. Gambling. Student organizations are prohibited from the following:

16.1. Play or sponsor an unlawful game of chance for money or for anything of value (e.g., raffle) on (a) University premises or at (b) any event sponsored by a student organization.

16.2. Unlawfully sell, barter, or dispose of a ticket, order, or any interest in a scheme of chance by whatever name on (a) University premises or at (b) any event sponsored by a student organization.

16.3. Wager on a University team or organization in a competition, with or without an intent to have a direct influence on the outcome of the competition (a) on University premises or (b) at a University or student organization sponsored activity or event.

17. Outstanding Debt.

17.1. All student organizations are responsible for ensuring that all bills are paid to both on and off campus vendors and creditors in a timely manner.

17.2. Student organizations will not knowingly enter into purchase or rental agreements that are beyond the resources of the organization’s ability to pay.
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18. Social Events.

18.1. Crowd size that exceeds such limits so as to infringe upon the rights and/or property of others and/or endanger those in attendance is prohibited. Student organizations should refer to other national parent organizations or University policies for specific information on crowd size.

18.2. Failure to provide adequate security personnel or other security measures in order to ensure the safety of those in attendance at an organization-sponsored event is prohibited.

18.3. Failure to provide adequate parking so that vehicles are impeding the normal flow of traffic, parked illegally or parked on private property without proper authorization is prohibited.

18.4. Conducting any event that interferes with the normal progress of academic events on campus is prohibited.

18.5. Failure to register and get appropriate approval for any outdoor event on or adjacent to campus with the Student Union at least five (5) days before the event is prohibited (30 days advance approval is required for parades and events involving fireworks).

18.6. Failure to adhere to specific time limits and other restrictions required of all outdoor events on campus involving bands or other forms of amplified music is prohibited. Outdoor events on campus must cease by 1:00 a.m. unless prior approval has been received from the University.

19. Solicitation and Fundraising. All student organizations will conduct any solicitation and fundraising activities in a manner that does not violate and is not contrary to the policies of the University or its Center for Student Involvement, Department of Residence Life, Student Recreation Center, Student Union, Business Office and/or federal, state, or local law.

Acts of misconduct by a student organization will be cumulative in effect, and all acts of misconduct may be considered together in deciding whether the Student Conduct Code has been violated and, if a violation is found, the imposition of sanctions.

8.060. Relationship of Law Enforcement and University Conduct System. Violations of federal, state and local laws are incorporated as offenses under the Student Conduct Code. When an offense occurs over which the university has jurisdiction, the university conduct process will usually go forward notwithstanding any criminal charges that may arise from the same incident. Should a student withdraw from the university when criminal charges are made, it is the typical practice of the university to pursue investigation and resolution of campus conduct matters, regardless of the fact that the student has withdrawn.

When a student is arrested, charged or indicted for a violent, alcohol, or drug-related off-campus crime, the University may elect to take action against that student for violation of the Student Conduct Code, which incorporates violation of local, state and federal laws as code infractions. In situations where information from law enforcement necessary to establish the facts of the case is unavailable proceedings may be delayed.

8.060.1. Cooperation with Authorities. When a student is charged by federal, state or local authorities with a violation of law, the University will not request or agree to special consideration for that individual because of his or her status as a student. If the alleged offense is also the subject of a proceeding for a violation of the Student Conduct Code, the University may advise off-campus authorities of the existence of the Student Conduct Code and of how such matters are handled internally within the University community. The University will cooperate fully with law enforcement and other agencies in the enforcement of criminal law on University premises and in the compliance with conditions imposed by criminal courts for the rehabilitation of student violators. However, the University cannot delay its processes unreasonably while criminal investigations are underway, and without interfering, will undertake internal resolution of complaints even though those complaints may arise from conduct that
may also be criminal in nature. Individual students and employees, acting in their personal capacities, will remain free to interact with governmental representatives, as they deem appropriate.

8.070. Interim Suspension. In certain circumstances, the Dean of Student Affairs, or his/her designee, may impose a University or residence hall suspension prior to a conduct hearing. The University reserves the right to exercise its authority of interim suspension upon notification that a student is facing criminal investigation and/or charges. The University will permit any student who receives an interim suspension to request a meeting as soon as possible with the Dean of Student Affairs or designee to show cause why an interim suspension is not merited. Regardless of the outcome of this meeting, the university may still proceed with the scheduling of a campus hearing. The following will be the only issues discussed at the meeting:

- Information related to the facts the university has regarding the student’s conduct as well as the identity of the student.

- The conduct in question and whether or not it is reasonable to believe that the student’s presence on campus poses a substantial or immediate threat to the safety and well-being of him/herself or others, undue interference with a university investigation and/or disturbance of the university’s educational mission.

8.070.1. Reasons. Interim suspension may be imposed only to ensure the safety and well-being of members of the University community or preservation of any University premises, to ensure a student’s own physical or emotional safety and well-being, or if the student or student organization poses a reasonable threat of disruption of, or interference with, the normal operations of the University.

8.070.2. Denial of Access. During the interim suspension, a student or student organization may be denied access to any or all University premises, or any activities or events arranged or sponsored by the University or student organizations, as the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee may determine to be appropriate.

8.080. University Conduct System. Reports and complaints of misconduct of a student will be administered in accordance with the standards of due process as established by this Code. Reports and complaints of misconduct of a student organization will be administered in accordance with the standards of fundamental fairness as established by this Code.

8.080.1. University as Complainant. Individuals outside the University may provide information related to student or student organization misconduct to the Conduct Officer for consideration. As necessary, Truman State University reserves the right to initiate a complaint, to serve as complainant, and to initiate conduct proceedings without a formal complaint by the victim of misconduct.

8.080.2. Group Violations. When members of groups, individuals acting together collectively or members of an organization acting together in violation of any policy, they may be held accountable as a group, and a hearing may proceed against the group as joint accused students. In any such action, individual findings of responsibility and a determination of sanctions will be made with respect to each accused student involved in the incident.

8.080.3. Complaint Procedure. Any member of the University community may file a complaint of misconduct by a student or student organization(s). Complaints asking for action on the misconduct are to be prepared in writing and signed by the complainant. Complaints are to be directed to the Assistant Dean of Student Affairs for Citizenship and Community Standards (Conduct Officer). Any complaint should be submitted as soon as possible after the misconduct takes place or becomes known. The existence of special circumstances will be determined in each complaint by the Conduct Officer and will include any
threats or actions that, through trauma or fear, caused the complainant or any witness to delay their reports of the misconduct. For more information regarding the timeline and policies used in response to allegations of sexual misconduct, please see conduct.truman.edu. When a complaint is received, the Conduct Officer will determine what further action will be taken, including the following:

a. **No Action** — Complaints that fall outside the University’s jurisdiction, do not violate the Student Conduct Code, or that are not supported by sufficient information, may result in no action being taken by the Office of Citizenship and Community Standards.

b. **Mediation** — In situations where a complainant is interested in resolving a conflict without the creation of a conduct record for the accused, the conduct officer can work with both parties to determine a mutually acceptable solution. In these cases documentation of the incident and its resolution will be kept in university records but will not be part of a student’s conduct record unless future violations take place. Mediation agreements are final and not subject to review.

c. **Administrative Hearing** — Administrative hearings are the most common method of deposing of conduct complaints. This method of resolving complaints is rarely utilized when suspension, expulsion or charter revocation are likely outcomes. The focus is resolution involving educational sanctions and working with the accused to improve behavior. Administrative hearings, however, may also be used in cases of probation violation, severe or unusual circumstances or in situations where immediate action is necessary. In cases where an administrative hearing results in suspension or expulsion for an individual or suspension/revocation of student organization, the accused can request a review.

d. **Conduct Hearing Board** — Students or student organizations accused of a violation of the Student Conduct Code may have the complaint heard by a Conduct Hearing Board of his/her/its peers, under the discretion of the Conduct Officer. Conduct Hearing Boards are usually reserved for those situations where suspension and/or expulsion are possible outcomes for individuals or when student organizations may face suspension or revocation of recognition by the University. Conduct hearing boards may also be utilized when there is a need to interpret more complicated evidence or consider more complex circumstances.

**8.080.4 Investigation and Disposition:** It is the responsibility of the Conduct Officer to investigate all complaints. This may mean interviewing witnesses and gathering information from other agencies (law enforcement, campus offices, etc.). This investigation is not the same as a police led investigation and standard rules of evidence used in the criminal court do not apply. After the complaint has been investigated and allegations of violation of the Student Conduct Code have been determined, the Conduct Officer will decide in consultation with the complainant (and if appropriate, the accused) whether mediation, an administrative hearing or a conduct board hearing is the most appropriate course of action.

**8.080.5 Complaint Resolution Through Mediation.** Mediation is appropriate when there is a dispute between or among students, the complainant desires that action be taken to stop an unwanted behavior that may be a violation of the Student Conduct Code, the violation is relatively minor and does not present the potential to endanger other students or disrupt the campus community and the complainant does not want the complaint to result in a conduct record for the accused. A mediation involves the following process:

a. **Mutual Consent:** The Conduct Officer communicates with both the complainant and the accused, explains the various options for resolving the concern, and both parties agree in writing to mediation.

b. **Notification:** Each party is notified regarding the behavior of concern, the time and date of the mediation, rules regarding the mediation process, the binding nature of the outcomes and
the consequences of violating the agreements.

c. **Mediation Process:** Mediation involves an opportunity for both parties to present and respond to concerns and to present and discuss potential solutions. The goal for mediation is a mutually agreed upon resolution. Agreements made during mediation are binding and final. One or both parties may withdraw from the process at any time and pursue a different course of resolution. The mediator may also terminate mediation if parties do not abide by the rules of mediation or if a mutually agreeable resolution is not possible.

d. **Notification of Outcomes:** Participants will receive written confirmation of the outcomes of the mediation and the consequences of failing to abide by the agreements.

**8.080.6. Complaint Resolution Through an Administrative Hearing.** The administrative hearing process is the most commonly used method for resolution of student complaints. Administrative hearings are rarely utilized when the complaint involves complex and controversial testimony or documentation and/or when the sanctions of suspension or expulsion for individuals or suspension or revocation of charter as a recognized student organization are possible. Findings of administrative hearings, however, may result in these more severe sanctions in cases where a student or student organization has violated probation, there are severe or unusual circumstances where a Conduct Hearing Board resolution is not appropriate or when immediate action is necessary. There are three parts to an administrative hearing:

a. **Notice and Time of Hearing.** After the complaint has been investigated, allegations of violation of the Student Conduct Code have been determined and an administrative hearing has been found to be the appropriate course of resolution by the Conduct Officer, a notice is sent to the student or student organization with information regarding the administrative hearing. Notice will be in writing, and will be emailed to the student’s University e-mail address or mailed to the local or permanent address of the accused student. Once mailed, such notice will be presumptively delivered. Each letter will include the following:

- A concise summary of the alleged violation
- All policies the accused is alleged to have violated and the possible consequences if the accused is found in violation
- Relevant procedures for resolution of the complaint
- The date, time, and place of the hearing or instructions for the accused to schedule the hearing

b. **Administrative Hearing Process.** Hearings to determine whether or not a student or student organization has violated the Student Conduct Code as alleged, or as reasonably related to the facts as alleged, will be conducted by a Conduct Officer according to this Code and any other procedures as issued by the Dean of Student Affairs and Conduct Officer. Minor variations in established hearing procedures can be approved on an ad hoc basis by the Dean of Student Affairs and Conduct Officer as long as they do not materially impact the fairness of the proceedings. Every effort will be made to assure fair and impartial hearings. The hearings will be conducted in closed session, and all hearings and records will be administered in compliance with FERPA. The accused student or student organization has the right to consult an advisor of his/her/its choice before, during, and after any conference, hearing, or review. Typically the administrative hearing process is facilitated through one meeting with the accused which includes an opportunity to discuss the accused rights within the process and to hear information regarding the facts of the case from the accused. During this conversation the accused will state their belief of whether or not they are responsible for the allegations listed and the Conduct Officer will make his/her finding based on if it is more likely than not that the violation occurred.

c. **Notification of Outcomes:** Once a finding is determined, if the finding is that of a policy violation, the Conduct Officer will determine an appropriate sanction or sanctions and will document the sanctions and information for their completion in a decision letter that will be emailed to the student’s University e-mail address or mailed to the local or permanent address of
the accused student. Pertinent information regarding the outcome will also be shared with the victim/complainant when appropriate. Once mailed, such notice will be presumed to be delivered. Decisions of an administrative hearing are final except in cases where the sanctions involve suspension or expulsion from the University or residence halls for a student and suspension or revocation of University recognition for a student organization. In these circumstances the accused can request a review.

8.080.7. Complaint Resolution Through a Conduct Hearing Board (CHB). Conduct Hearing Boards are used to resolve a minority of complaints. CHBs are most often used in the resolution of more serious violations of the Code or in situations where students face potential suspension or expulsion from the University or student organizations face potential suspension or revocation of their charter/university recognition. CHB’s may also be used in situations where a fair and equitable process would be better achieved through the hearing of the case by multiple decision makers due to the complexity of evidence or circumstances surrounding the case.

8.080.8. Composition of the CHB. The Conduct Hearing Board (CHB) is comprised of students, faculty and/or staff. The CHB is chosen from a pool of eligible candidates. For each complaint, a new CHB will be chosen at random under the supervision of the Conduct Officer. Any members of the pool who have a conflict due to any prejudicial factors (anything that would prevent an impartial and objective determination) should remove themselves from consideration. One member of each CHB chosen will be designated by the Conduct Officer to serve as Chairperson, who will conduct the hearing and serve as the official representative of the Board. The Chairperson has final say on all questions of admissibility of information, appropriateness of questions, and on any procedural decisions. The CHB will render decisions on all requests prior to and during the hearing, and will be allowed to directly question all involved parties (accused student(s) or student organizations(s), complainant(s), and witnesses) during the hearing. The Chairperson may also permit parties to directly or indirectly question each other and witnesses if that can be done with maturity and civility (In sexual misconduct proceedings, questioning between the complainant and the accused will be conducted through a neutral third party). All decisions regarding findings and sanctions will be made by a majority vote. No student will be found in violation unless information proves that a violation of the Student Conduct Code is more likely than not to have occurred. Abstention in voting is not permitted. Every voting member will either vote to support a motion or will vote not to support a motion.

The term for individuals chosen to be in the pool is two calendar years (January 1st year one through December 31st year two), and a member may serve more than one consecutive term at the discretion of the Dean of Student Affairs. Three (3) members are necessary to hear a complaint. The Conduct Officer is responsible for coordinating training sessions, hearings, and assigning complaints. The Dean of Student Affairs or Conduct Officer has authority to make interim appointments to fill any open slot from the three categories below. Additionally, the Conduct Officer may implement alternate procedures for any conduct complaints when the usual pool of CHB members is not available. The composition of the eligible pool will be as follows:

a. Six (6) full-time students — who are appointed by the Dean of Student Affairs after consultation with Student Government. The Office of Citizenship and Community Standards will be responsible for recruiting and recommending student Conduct Hearing Board nominees to be appointed by the Dean of Student Affairs.

b. Three (3) Faculty — who are appointed by the Dean of Student Affairs and recommended by the Provost/ Vice President for Academic Affairs after consultation with the Faculty Senate.
c. **Three (3) professional administrative staff** — who are appointed by the Dean of Student Affairs and recommended by the Chair of the Staff Council with the advice and consent of the Staff Council.

**8.080.9. Conduct Hearing Board Process:** Several steps, explained below, are involved in the process of preparing for and conducting a hearing utilizing the CHB.

a. **Notice and Time for Hearings.** Notice will be in writing, and may be delivered in person during a meeting with the Conduct Officer. Notice will also be e-mailed to the student’s University e-mail address or mailed to the local or permanent address of the accused student. Once mailed, such notice will be presumptively delivered.
   - The letter of notice will include a concise summary of the alleged violation;
   - The letter will state all policies the accused student is alleged to have violated and the possible consequences if the accused student is found in violation;
   - The letter will include the relevant procedures for resolution of the complaint;
   - The date, time, and place of the hearing;
   - A list of the University’s witnesses (to be supplemented later if necessary); and
   - A deadline for meeting with the Conduct Officer.
   - The letter of notice may also direct the accused student to contact the Conduct Officer within two business days of receipt with a written response to the complaint.

Once the accused student responds, written notice of the time, date and location of the hearing will be sent to all parties to the complaint, who may additionally be notified in person, by telephone, or by e-mail. Such notice may also be sent to the student who has been notified of the complaint, if the student fails to respond to the mailed notice letter. If an accused student fails to respond to notice, the Conduct Officer will initiate a complaint against the student for failure to comply with the directives of a University official, and give notice of this offense. Unless the student responds to this notice within two business days by answering the initial notice, the student will be automatically suspended until such time as s/he responds to the initial complaint. In complaints where the Assistant Dean of Student Affairs/Citizenship and Community Standards serves as the complainant, another Conduct Officer may carry out his/her pre-hearing duties.

b. **Pre-hearing Process.** Multiple individuals are involved in preparations for a CHB hearing as outlined below:
   - **Accused.** Once a student denies a violation they will be given a minimum of seven (7) days to prepare for a hearing. At least forty-eight (48) hours before any scheduled hearing, the accused will deliver to the Conduct Officer a written response to the complaint, a written list of all witnesses the accused student wants the University to call on his/her behalf with full contact information for such witnesses, a list of all physical items the accused student intends to use or needs to have present at the hearing, and who has possession or custody of such information if known, and the name of any advisor who may be accompanying them to the hearing.
   - **Complainant.** At least forty-eight (48) hours before the scheduled hearing, the complainant will deliver to the Conduct Officer a list of all witnesses the complainant wants the University to call on his/her behalf at the hearing with full contact information, a list of all physical items the complainant will use or needs to have present at the hearing and who has possession or custody of such information if known, and the name of any advisor who may be accompanying them to the hearing.
   - **Conduct Officer.** The Conduct Officer will ensure that the above information and all other available written documentation will be shared between the complainant and the accused
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student at least twenty-four (24) hours before the scheduled hearing. Each party will also be
given a list of the names of the hearing board members for the case. Should either party
object to any members of the board, they must raise all objections in writing to the Conduct
Officer immediately. Hearing officers will only be unseated if the Conduct Officer concludes
that their bias precludes an impartial hearing of the complaint. The Conduct Officer will,
prior to the hearing, attempt to obtain signed FERPA consents when appropriate from all
parties and witnesses who will participate in the hearing.

Witnesses. In most cases, witnesses are to be identified in advance. The identity of a
witness may be kept confidential for safety reasons at the discretion of the Conduct Officer if
knowing the identity of a witness is not essential to the defense of the accused student. While
witnesses are subject to questioning at the hearing, unavailable witnesses may submit their
testimony by telephone or written statement.

c. **Conduct of Hearings.** Hearings to determine whether or not a student or student organization
has violated the Student Conduct Code as alleged, or as reasonably related to the facts as
alleged, will be conducted by a conduct hearing body according to this Code and any other
procedures as issued by the Dean of Student Affairs and Conduct Officer. Minor variations in
established hearing procedures can be approved on an ad hoc basis by the Dean of Student
Affairs and Conduct Officer as long as they do not materially impact on the fairness of the
proceedings. Every effort will be made to assure fair and impartial hearings. The hearings
will be conducted in closed session, and all hearings and records will be administered in
compliance with FERPA. The accused student or student organization has the right to consult
an advisor of his/her/its choice before, during, and after any conference, hearing, or review.
If there is an alleged victim of the conduct in question, the alleged victim may serve as the
complainant, or may elect to have the administration serve as complainant. Where there is no
victim, the administration will serve as complainant. A student serving as complainant has the same
rights to an advisor as the accused student or student organization. Parents or other support persons
may also attend but like advisors are not permitted to speak or to participate directly, (i.e.,
make opening or closing remarks, examine or cross examine, ask questions, to submit and
object to information, to address the hearing board), in any hearing, conference, or review.
While previous conduct violations by the accused student are not generally admissible as
information about the present alleged violation, the Conduct Officer may supply previous complaint
information in complaints of sexual misconduct, stalking, relationship violence and discrimination
to the CHB, or may consider it her/himself in hearing the complaint, if any of the following applies:

- The accused student was previously found to be responsible;
- The previous incident was substantially similar to the present allegation;
- Information indicates a pattern of behavior and substantial conformity with that
  pattern by the accused student.

d. **Hearing Deliberations.** The Conduct Officer will be present and available as a resource
during all deliberations. Once a finding is determined, if the finding is that of a policy
violation, the Conduct Hearing Board (CHB) will determine appropriate sanctions. The
Conduct Officer is responsible for informing the CHB of applicable precedent and any
previous conduct violations by the accused student. A CHB will recommend a finding and if
merited, the imposition of sanctions, but the Conduct Officer has the right to amend that
recommendation. The Chairperson will prepare a written deliberation report submitted to the
Conduct Officer detailing the finding, how each CHB member voted, the information cited by
the body in support of its finding, and any information that the body excluded from its
consideration, and why. This report should conclude with recommended sanctions. This
report should typically not exceed two pages in length, and must be submitted to the Conduct Officer within 48 hours after the end of deliberations. The Conduct Officer may make appropriate modifications and then will implement the final determination and inform the parties within seven (7) days after the hearing.

c. **Record of Hearings.** There will be a single verbatim record, such as a tape recording, of all hearings before a conduct hearing board. The record will be the property of the University. In the event a student or student organization petitions for a review of a decision or a sanction and wishes to review a verbatim record, he/she/it may make a request in writing to do so to the Dean of Student Affairs. A student petitioning for a review or student organization may request a typed summation of the hearing. The transcription should normally be provided subject to payment of costs for the production of the transcript. Personally identifiable information will be deleted, unless FERPA consents have been sought and obtained from those students mentioned in the record. In the event a mechanical failure occurs that prevents a verbatim recording, the absence of a verbatim record will not, in itself, be cause for review. In such complaints, the Conduct Officer will contact the chairperson of the hearing board. The chairperson will reconvene the hearing body and issue a record, which will include the finding of the body, what information the body considered, and the basis for the finding. This record will be considered sufficient for review by a review authority.

g. **Notification of Outcomes.** The outcome of a campus hearing is part of the educational record of the accused student, and is protected from release under a federal law, FERPA. However, Truman State University observes the legal exceptions as follows:

- Complainants in non-consensual sexual contact/intercourse incidents have an absolute right to be informed of the outcome and sanctions of the hearing and any subsequent appeals, in writing, without condition or limitation.
- Complainants in sexual exploitation/sexual harassment complaints have a right to be informed of information regarding sanctions that personally identifies and is directly pertinent to them, such as the imposition of a restriction on physical contact between the complainant and the accused student. Otherwise, information on the outcome and sanction cannot be shared.
- Truman State University may release publicly the name, nature of the violation and the sanction for any student who is found in violation of a Truman State University policy that is a non-forceable sex offense or a “crime of violence,” including: arson, burglary, robbery, criminal homicide, sex offenses, assault, destruction/damage/vandalism of property and kidnapping/abduction. Truman State University may release this information to the complainant in any of these offenses regardless of the outcome, but complainants are cautioned that FERPA does not permit them to re-release this information to others. Additionally, student organizations are not protected under FERPA and information from their hearings may be released to the public upon request based upon the judgment of the Conduct Officer. Information regarding conduct actions involving local chapters may be shared with national offices.

**8.090. Sanctions.** In each complaint in which a conduct hearing determines that a student or student organization has violated the Student Conduct Code, the sanction or sanctions will be determined in accordance with the rules of this Code or rules issued by the Dean of Student Affairs for that particular conduct hearing. Following any conduct hearing, the decision will be sent via e-mail to the student’s University e-mail address or the United States Postal Service mail or hand delivered to the accused and
complainant, if applicable, to the last known address and will include a concise summary on the decision and recommendation of sanction(s), and information on the review process. Once mailed, such notice will be presumed to be delivered.

8.090.1. Listing of Sanctions. The following sanctions may be imposed upon any student or student organization found to have violated the Student Conduct Code.

1. **Warning.** A notice in writing to the student or student organization that the student or student organization is violating or has violated one or more provisions of the Student Conduct Code.

2. **Probation.** A written reprimand for one or more violations of the Student Conduct Code and, when appropriate, conditions for continued enrollment or continued recognition. Probation is for a designated period of time, and it includes the probability of more severe conduct sanctions if the student or student organization fails to fulfill the conditions for continued enrollment or continued recognition or is found to be violating or to have violated any provisions of the Student Conduct Code during the probationary period.

3. **Loss of Privileges.** Denial of specified privileges for a designated period of time.

4. **Restitution.** Compensation for loss, damage or injury. This may take the form of appropriate service and/or monetary or material replacement.

5. **Discretionary Sanctions.** Work assignments, service to the University, or other related discretionary assignments. Such assignments are to be supervised by, and must have the prior approval of, a Conduct Officer.

6. **Educational Sanctions.** Projects or assignments designed to educate a student or student organization(s) in connection with the effect of their behavior on the community.

7. **Residence Hall Probation.** A written reprimand for one or more violations of the Residence Life Handbook and/or Student Conduct Code and, when appropriate, conditions for continued residence on campus. Probation is for a designated period of time, and it includes the probability for more severe conduct sanctions if the student fails to fulfill the conditions for continued residence or is found to be violating or to have violated any provisions of the Residence Life Handbook or the Student Conduct Code during the probationary period.

8. **Residence Hall Suspension.** Separation of a student or student organization from the residence halls for a definite period of time, after which the student is eligible to return. Conditions for readmission may be specified.

9. **Residence Hall Expulsion.** Permanent separation of a student or student organization from the residence halls.

10. **Interim Suspension.** Temporary separation of a student or student organization(s) from the University pending completion of conduct procedures, as referenced in Section 8.070.

11. **Limited Access.** A student or student organization may be denied access to any or all of University premises, or any activities or events arranged or sponsored by the University or student organization, as the Dean of Student Affairs or the Conduct Officer may determine to be appropriate.

12. **Interim Suspension of Participation.** Separation of a student or student organization from participation in a University recognized activity or organization for a definite period of time, after which the student or student organization is eligible to return. Conditions for readmission may be specified. While on interim suspension of participation, the student or student organization is denied access to University premises, and all University or student organization sponsored activity. In the case where a student is a member of a student organization, the student is prohibited from attending the student organization's activities on or off-campus, pending completion of the misconduct procedures.

13. **Recommendation for Charter Revocation.** An official request to the national office that the local charter be revoked.

14. **Revocation or Denial of University Registration/Recognition.** Permanent severance of the organization's relationship with the University.
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15. **Denial of Privilege to Re-enroll.** This permits the student to complete the current semester barring further violations, but prohibits the individual from enrolling for a definite period of time, after which the student is permitted to return. Conditions for readmission may be specified. Records are encumbered.

16. **University Suspension.** Separation of the student or student organization from the University for a definite period of time, after which the student or student organization is eligible to return. Conditions for readmission may be specified. Records will note a sanction of suspension due to conduct proceedings. While on university suspension, the student or student organization is denied access to University premises, and all University or student organization sponsored activity. In the case where a student is a member of a student organization, the student is prohibited from attending the student organization’s activities on or off-campus.

17. **University Expulsion.** Permanent separation of the student from the University. Records will note a sanction of expulsion due to conduct proceedings. Upon expulsion, the student is denied access to University premises, and all University or student organization sponsored activity. In the case where a student is a member of a student organization, the student is prohibited from attending the student organization’s activities on or off-campus.

8.090.2. **Parental Notification.** Truman State University reserves the right to notify parents/guardians of dependent students regarding any conduct situation, particularly alcohol and other drug violations. Truman State University may also notify parents/guardians of non-dependent students who are under age 21 of alcohol and/or drug policy violations. Where a student is not-dependent, Truman State University may contact parents/guardians to inform them of situations in which there is a health and/or safety risk. Truman State University also reserves the right to determine which Truman State University officials have a need to know about individual conduct complaints pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act.

8.090.3. **MultipleSanctions.** More than one of the sanctions listed above may be imposed for any single violation.

8.090.4. **Conditions Leading to More Severe Sanctions.** If any of the following conditions exist in a violation of the Student Conduct Code, more severe or multiple sanctions may be given to the accused student(s) or student organization(s):

   a. Information that the victim was intentionally selected because of the victim’s actual or perceived race, religion, national origin, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, or disability;
   b. The use or possession of a firearm(s) or other weapon(s);
   c. The possession of a controlled substance, or information that the accused student(s) was under the influence of a controlled substance;
   d. The existence of a prior record of violation(s) in this chapter by the accused student(s) or student organization(s);
   e. Any additional factors which contribute to the severity of the offense.
   f. Other mitigating factors can serve as a cause to impose more severe sanctions such as failure to express regret, failure to recognize errors in judgment and/or unwillingness to address factors leading to the behavior.

8.090.5. **Responsible Action Policy.** The welfare of our students is of the highest importance to Truman State University. There will be times when individual students, both on and off campus, may have knowledge of a situation that may present a significant threat to the health and welfare of themselves or others. Truman wants to eliminate any hesitation that students or student organizations might have in obtaining help due to concern that their own behavior might be a violation of University policy.
The University will take into consideration the positive impact of reporting an incident on the welfare of students when determining the appropriate response for policy violations by the reporter of the incident. Any possible negative consequence for the reporter of the problem will be evaluated against the positive consequences of the intervention for the student in need. Responsible citizens recognize and accept the duty to make ethical and moral decisions about the health and safety of themselves or others even when to do so might result in personal inconvenience. At a minimum, Truman hopes that a student or student organization would make an anonymous report that would put the student in need in touch with professional helpers. If charged and found responsible for a violation of the Code, sanctions imposed, if any, will be less severe when students or student organizations appropriately report dangerous circumstances than if students or student organizations fail to report.

8.090.6. Additional Conditions Leading to Less Severe Sanctions.
Mitigating factors can serve as a cause to moderate a sanction. If any of the following conditions exist in a violation of the Student Conduct Code, less severe or fewer sanctions may be given to the accused student(s) or student organization including but not limited to payment of restitution, sincere regret, acceptance of responsibility for the misconduct, taking steps to ensure the misconduct does not occur again, etc.

8.090.7. Additional Actions. The foregoing sanctions are in addition to any actions that any other office(s) is authorized to take for conduct violations or any actions authorized to be taken by faculty members or academic deans for acts of academic misconduct.

8.090.8. Student and Student Organization Records, Record Retention, and Record Consideration in Organization Sanctions. Conduct sanctions become part of the student's conduct record. Conduct records of students will be kept for a period of no more than seven (7) years after the academic year when final disposition of the violation occurred, except in complaints of suspension and expulsion, where the record will be kept permanently. Student conduct records may be kept longer due to special circumstances, as deemed necessary by the Dean of Student Affairs. Conduct records of student organizations will be kept permanently for historical and archival purposes. Generally, a five-year time frame will be utilized when considering sanctions for a student organization. However, a longer time frame may be considered when evaluating sanctions for serious violations of the Code (e.g., patterns of organizational behavior that include alcohol and drug related misconduct, abusive affiliation, life safety issues, etc.).

8.100. Requesting a Review by the President or his/her Designee. When a case is eligible for a review, a student or student organization may request a review. Any request must be submitted in writing, addressed to and delivered to the Dean of Student Affairs, and include all supporting facts and arguments, no later than three (3) calendar days after the notification of decision has been delivered to the accused student or student organization, unless an extension has been granted by the Conduct Officer for the case. Complainants who wish to have the findings or sanctions of a Conduct Hearing Board reviewed must also submit their requests to the Dean of Student Affairs no later than three (3) calendar days after the notification of a decision has been delivered to them. The petition for a review must include the grounds for the review as well as supporting facts and arguments. Failure to meet either or both of these conditions will be sufficient cause to deny a review. The Dean of Student Affairs will make the determination as to whether both conditions have been met. Normally, sanctions are in place from the time of imposition, but the Dean of Student Affairs has discretion to suspend sanctions during the period in which the complaint is being reviewed.

The following are grounds for a review:
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a. New information, unavailable at the time of the board hearing, is now available and could materially affect the decision that was rendered.

b. "The hearing deprived a party of a right the party had according to the rules and regulations governing the University Conduct System; and this deprivation materially affected the decision." In other words, a review can be filed on the grounds that the process was not conducted according to the procedures described in the Student Conduct Code and the process failure influenced the decision that was rendered.

c. "The finding of ‘in violation’ is not supported by substantial evidence." In other words, a review can argue that the evidence was not substantial enough to justify an "in violation" finding.

d. "The sanction(s) imposed is not proportionate to the severity of the violation(s)." In other words, the review can argue that the sanctions were inappropriate when the circumstances of the act and prior record of the accused are considered.

8.100.1. Review Process. The President or his/her designee has fourteen (14) calendar days after the date the review is delivered to the Dean of Student Affairs to respond to a petition for review, unless there are extenuating circumstances that require additional time for the review to be considered. The President or his/her designee may grant the review, and if so, decide whether to return the complaint to the hearing board or conduct officer for reconsideration, or to conduct the reconsideration herself/himself. Generally, reviews will involve a review of the hearing record, but the President or his/her designee may conduct a new hearing, interview witnesses or otherwise direct further investigation at her/his discretion.

The standard for review is a deferential standard. It is not merely a matter of whether the President or his/her designee agrees with the hearing board. S/he may only alter the finding if there is clear error. S/he may only alter the sanction if a compelling justification exists to do so.

The President or his/her designee’s decision will be sent via e-mail to the student’s University e-mail address or United States Postal Service mail or hand delivered to the accused and complainant, if applicable, to the last known address and will include a concise summary on why the decision was upheld or amended as well as information on the review process. Once mailed, such notice will be presumed to be delivered. Any decision rendered by the President or his/her designee will be final.

8.110. Interpretation, Review, and Revision. Questions of interpretation and periodic reviews of this policy will be administered in the following manner:

8.110.1. Interpretation. Any questions of interpretation regarding the Student Conduct Code will be referred to the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee for determination.

8.110.2. Code Revision. The Code will be reviewed once every five years under the direction of the Dean of Student Affairs. Review of this document should be done in conjunction with the University General Counsel, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, and the Student Government. Changes to the Code can be made as necessary at the request of the Dean of Student Affairs, President, or Board of Governors, with the approval from the Board of Governors. Grammatical, editing, formatting and other non-substantive changes can be made at the discretion of the Dean of Student Affairs or designee as needed without approval of the Board.

This code of conduct has been reviewed by Brett Sokolow from the National Center for Higher Education Risk Management www.ncherm.org. Some of the language may be proprietary and copyrighted. It is licensed to Truman State University for its use and publication, but all other uses and copying is prohibited without express permission from NCHERM.

Source: Resolution of the Board dated April 14, 2012
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ITEM H.3
2012 Storm Drainage Improvements Project

DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

On October 7, 2011, the Board of Governors approved Trabue, Hansen and Hinshaw, Inc., to provide engineering services for the Storm Water Management Project. This project is designed to address drainage issues which have occurred during significant rainfall events over the last few years, which caused the campus storm water system to exceed capacity.

Work includes drainage systems on the parking lot east of the Ophelia Parrish Fine Arts Center and in the parking lot/lawn area east of Magruder Hall. The goal is to prevent storm water from entering these two major academic buildings. Work will also occur in the Red Barn park area to reduce flooding from storm water. Bids for the project were received from six contractors, and the best and lowest bid was from Mehler and Sons, Inc. Funds for this project are available in the Local Capital Budget. If approved, work is expected to begin in late May with completion prior to the start of the fall semester.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

BE IT RESOLVED that the description and budgeted amount for the following construction project be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012 Storm Drainage Improvements Project</td>
<td>$410,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University, or his designee, be authorized to accept the lowest and best bid for project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the description of the project, as reviewed at the meeting, be attached to the minutes as an exhibit.

Moved by
Seconded by
Vote: Aye
Nay

Board of Governors
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ITEM H.4
Baldwin Hall, Pershing Building and Violette Hall Roofing and Exterior
Renovations Project

DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This construction project involves repairs to deteriorating exterior walls at Baldwin Hall, replacement of the roof at Baldwin, replacement of the perimeter gutter lining and a limited amount of roofing at Violette, and replacement of the roof on the north wing and arena at Pershing. In addition, the window system on the north side of the atrium at the Natatorium section of Pershing will be replaced. The Architects for this project, William B. Ittner, Inc., were selected at the August 17, 2011, Board of Governors meeting. If approved, work will commence in mid-May and should be completed this summer.

The bid opening for this project was March 20, 2012, and six general contractors submitted proposals. Following an evaluation the recommended contractor is Weathercraft, which had the best and lowest bid. The total project budget includes design fees, advertising and contingency. Funds are available from the Education and General Plant Fund for the project.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

BE IT RESOLVED that the description and budgeted amount for the following construction project be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Hall, Pershing Building and Violette</td>
<td>$2,260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall Roofing and Exterior Renovations Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University, or his designee, be authorized to accept the lowest and best bid for project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the description of the project, as reviewed at the meeting, be attached to the minutes as an exhibit.

Moved by ____________________________
Seconded by _________________________
Vote: Aye __________________________
     Nay ____________________________

Board of Governors
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ITEM H.5
Centennial Hall Renovations Project

DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Renovation of Centennial Hall is the next project in the multi-year Auxiliary Facilities Initiative. Design work for this project was approved by the Board of Governors at the December 4, 2010, meeting and has been produced by International Architects Atelier of Kansas City.

Centennial Hall was constructed in 1966-67 and first housed students in 1968. It was designed as a women's residence hall but has been remodeled and updated on a limited basis through several summer projects which included bathroom/shower updates and the addition of cable/computer networks and expanded electrical service. The original design capacity of Centennial Hall was 695 beds, which included many three and four-person rooms.

Planned renovations to Centennial Hall include the following:

- New energy efficient heating and air conditioning system for the public spaces including the dining, main lounge, floor lounge areas and staff apartment.
- Heat recovery and make-up air distribution for bathroom exhaust
- New elevators and accessible building entrance
- New fire alarm system and fire sprinkler system
- New electrical service and distribution panels
- Replacement of original roofing
- New kitchen and servery
- Reconfigured dining and servery areas
- Expanded floor lounges
- New computer work stations on each floor
- Two new central laundry facilities
- New finishes for the Main Lounge
- Replacement of primary sanitary sewer system below the first floor slab
- Exterior masonry repairs

Because the current air conditioning systems serving the dining and lounge areas are beyond repair the building will be served by an extension of the chilled water loop from Magruder Hall. This will complete a section of the campus chilled water loop identified as a priority in the recent Mechanical and Electrical Master Plan study. The cost of this portion of the work which will serve non-auxiliary functions will be covered by the Educational General Plant Fund.

The current scope of work does not change the size or configuration of the student rooms. However, plans call for elimination of four-person rooms and the overall capacity of the hall will be reduced from 669 to 581. This will place less pressure on the support areas in Centennial, making it a more attractive living environment for students.
Plans call for asbestos abatement activity to begin in mid-May 2012, with construction in two phases with Phase 1 completed prior to the move-in date for students in August 2013, and Phase 2 completed by August 2014.

Bids for this project were received from four contractors and the best and lowest bid was from River City Construction, LLC. The funding sources for this project include the auxiliary local capital budget, current fund surplus in auxiliary, and an allocation from Education and General Plant Fund and the Parking Fund to cover costs related to those functions.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

BE IT RESOLVED that the description and budgeted amount for the following construction project be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centennial Hall Renovations Project</td>
<td>$13,900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the President of the University, or his designee, be authorized to accept the lowest and best bid for project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the description of the project, as reviewed at the meeting, be attached to the minutes as an exhibit.

Moved by _______________________
Seconded by ____________________
Vote: Aye _______________________
      Nay _______________________

ATTACHMENT
Background Information Regarding the Auxiliary Facilities Initiative and Scope of Work for Centennial Hall